

Cornell University  
Spring 2016  
ECON 4905  
Financial Fragility and the Macroeconomy

**Answers for Practice Questions:  
Prelim #1**

**1. (Outside) Money Taxation**

- 1 commodity,  $l = 1$ , chocolate measured in ounces (oz.)
- 2 individuals,  $h = 1, 2$
- taxes  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$  measured in dollars (\$)
- consumption  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  measured in ounces
- endowments  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) = (100, 25)$  measured in ounces
- $x_h = \omega_h - P^m \tau_h$

a. What are the units in which  $P^m$  is measured?

((b) - (e)): In what follows, when is  $\tau$  balanced or not, and when is  $\tau$  bonafide or not? Solve for  $\mathcal{P}^m$ , the set of equilibrium  $P^m$ .

b.  $\tau = (5, -5)$

c.  $\tau = (1, -7)$

d.  $\tau = (1, -1)$

e.  $\tau = (-1, -1)$

f. In (b) - (e), in which cases are there multiple equilibria? What are the lessons from this for macroeconomics?

**Solution:**

a. ounces per dollar, oz./\$.

b. balanced. bonafide.

$$x_1 = 100 - 5P^m > 0, \quad P^m < 20$$

$$\mathcal{P}^m = [0, 20).$$

c. not balanced. not bonafide.

$$\mathcal{P}^m = \{0\}.$$

d. balanced. bonafide.

$$x_1 = 100 - P^m > 0, \quad P^m < 100$$

$$\mathcal{P}^m = [0, 100).$$

e. not balanced. not bonafide.

$$\mathcal{P}^m = \{0\}.$$

f. The price level is indeterminate in each of the balanced/bonafide cases: (b), (d), and (e). This emphasizes — particularly in money-finance economies — that the allocation of resources depends *as much* on beliefs as opposed to being determined solely by fundamentals (preferences and endowments).

## 2. Outside Money: 2 Currency Taxation

Same set-up as in (1.), but now 2 currencies: euro (€) and pound sterling (£). In each of the following solve for the exchange rate  $e$ . Give the units of  $e$ .

a.  $\tau^{\text{€}} = (-1, -1), \tau^{\text{£}} = (1, 1)$

b.  $\tau^{\text{€}} = (1, -1), \tau^{\text{£}} = (-5, 5)$

c.  $\tau^{\text{€}} = (2, 1), \tau^{\text{£}} = (1, -5)$

**Solution:**

a.

$$\sum_h \tau_h^{\text{€}} = -2\text{€}, \quad \sum_h \tau_h^{\text{£}} = 2\text{£}$$

$$-2P^{m\text{€}} + 2P^{m\text{£}} = 0$$

$$P^{m\text{€}} : \frac{\text{€}}{\text{choc}}, \quad P^{m\text{£}} : \frac{\text{£}}{\text{choc}}, \quad \text{units}$$

$$\frac{P^{m\text{€}}}{P^{m\text{£}}} = 1 = \frac{P^{m\text{£}}}{P^{m\text{€}}}, \quad \text{exchange rates}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\text{€}}{\text{choc}}}{\frac{\text{£}}{\text{choc}}} = \frac{\text{€}}{\text{£}}, \quad \frac{\frac{\text{£}}{\text{choc}}}{\frac{\text{€}}{\text{choc}}} = \frac{\text{£}}{\text{€}}$$

1 euro = 1 pound sterling

$$e = 1$$

b.

$$\sum_h \tau_h^{\text{€}} = 0, \quad \sum_h \tau_h^{\text{£}} = 0$$

$$0P^{m\text{€}} + 0P^{m\text{£}} = 0$$

$e$  is indeterminate

c.

$$\sum_h \tau_h^{\text{€}} = 3\text{€}, \quad \sum_h \tau_h^{\text{£}} = -4\text{£}$$

$$3P^{m\text{€}} - 4P^{m\text{£}} = 0$$

$$3P^{m\text{€}} = 4P^{m\text{£}}$$

$$\frac{P^{m\text{€}}}{P^{m\text{£}}} = \frac{4}{3}$$

$$\frac{\frac{P^{\text{€}}}{\text{choc}}}{\frac{P^{\text{£}}}{\text{choc}}} = e = \frac{4}{3}$$

1 pound sterling =  $\frac{4}{3}$  euro

$$e = 1$$

### 3. Inside Money: Money Market

- a.  $l = 1, \quad t = 1, 2, \quad h = 1, 2$
  - b.  $u_h(x_h^1, x_h^2) = \log x_h^1 + \log x_h^2$
  - c.  $\omega_1 = (\omega_1^1, \omega_1^2) = (2, 8)$
  - d.  $\omega_2 = (\omega_2^1, \omega_2^2) = (8, 2)$
- a. What is the equilibrium allocation  $x = ((x_1^1, x_1^2), (x_2^1, x_2^2))$  when the money market is closed?
  - b. What is the Pareto optimal allocation  $x$ ? Hint: you need not calculate, but you can do this for confirmation.
  - c. Show that the allocation  $x$  in part b is also the competitive equilibrium allocation when the money market is open. Hint: You might use the relationship between the money market equilibrium and the futures market equilibrium.

#### Solution:

a.

$$x = ((2, 8), (8, 2)) = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

because when  $P^m = 0$  there is no intertemporal trade (no borrowing, no lending). Autarky.

- b. There are many PO allocations. One is  $x_1 = (5, 5) = x_2$  because it maximizes equal-weighted welfare  $u_1 + u_2$  subject to  $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = (10, 10)$ .

PO allocations are found by maximizing

$$\log(x) + \log(y) + \lambda [\log(10 - x) + \log(10 - y)]$$

subject to  $(0, 0) \leq (x, y) \leq (10, 10)$  where  $\lambda \geq 0$  is the relative weight on Mr. 2.

Differentiating wrt  $x$  and  $y$  and setting to zero yields

$$\frac{1}{x} = \frac{\lambda}{10 - x}, \quad \frac{1}{y} = \frac{\lambda}{10 - y}.$$

So we have

$$\frac{10 - x}{x} = \lambda = \frac{10 - y}{y}.$$

If  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $(x, y) = (5, 5)$ .

If  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $(x, y) = (10, 10)$ .

If  $\lambda = \infty$ ,  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ .

$x = y$  for all  $\lambda$ .

c. (CP)

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \log x_h^1 + \log x_h^2 \\ \text{s.t. } & p^1 x_h^1 + p^2 x_h^2 = p^1 \omega_h^1 + p^2 \omega_h^2 \\ & \text{or } x_h^1 + p x_h^2 = \omega_h^1 + p \omega_h^2 \\ & x_h^1 = (\omega_h^1 + p \omega_h^2) / 2 = x_h^2 \end{aligned}$$

If  $p = 1$ , then

$$x_h^1 = 10/2 = 5 = x_h^2$$