Economics 4905: Lecture 5 Continued

Karl Shell

Cornell University

Fall 2017

Review (Outside) Money Taxation:

- ightharpoonup Bonafide Taxes au
- ightharpoonup Balanced Taxes au
- ▶ Bonafide τ ≡ Balanced τ
- I = 1, \mathcal{P}^m is interval $[0, \bar{P}^m)$
- ▶ l > 1, \mathcal{P}^m is the union of intervals
- Multiple Currencies
- ▶ Problem Set 1
- ► Simple, *finite* static model

Enriching the model to include dynamics and uncertainty: Debreu's isomorphism

- "Debreu" Isomorphism
 - Expand definition of commodity, $x_h^{i,s,t}$
 - ► Commodity type *i*, state of nature *s*, time *t*
 - Contingent claims
 - ► Futures Market
- Profit Maximization
 - Theorem
 - Not assumption
 - Diagrams

Futures Market

- t = 1, 2
- I = 1
- ightharpoonup present prices, $(p^1, p^2) = (1, p^2)$

CP:

max
$$u_h(x_h^1, x_h^2)$$

e.g. $u_h(x_h^1, x_h^2) = \phi_h(x_h^1) + \beta_h \phi_h(x_h^2)$

s.t.

$$p^{1}(x_{h}^{1}-\omega_{h}^{1})+p^{2}(x_{h}^{2}-\omega_{h}^{2})=0$$

CE: (p^1, p^2) such that

$$\sum_{h} x_h^t = \sum_{h} \omega_h^t \text{ for } t = 1, 2$$

where x_h^t solves CP for t = 1, 2.

Criticism of Futures Market Interpretation:

- ▶ Do we really choose today all our future consumptions?
- ▶ Do ordinary people use futures market for personal choices over time?
- Everyone on a "meal plan" for everything?
- ► FM model is real, i.e., non-financial. More stable, but less realistic?

Inside Money Market for Dynamic Economy

- ▶ Spot market at each date, t = 1, 2
- Saving and dis-saving through "money-market"
- Rational expectations about future spot prices
- Expectations play no role in FM model

Inside Money, continued

CP:

$$\max u_h(x_h^1, x_h^2)$$

s.t.
$$\begin{split} p^1 x_h^1 + p^{m1} x_h^{m1} &= p^1 \omega_h^1 \\ p^2 x_h^2 + p^{m2} x_h^{m2} &= p^2 \omega_h^2 \\ x_h^{m1} + x_h^{m2} &= 0 \text{ or } x_h^{m2} = -x_h^{m1} \end{split}$$

CE:

$$(p^1, p^2; p^{m1}, p^{m2})$$

s.t.
$$\sum_{h} x_{h}^{t} = \sum_{h} \omega_{h}^{t} \text{ for } t = 1, 2$$
$$\sum_{h} x_{h}^{m,t} = 0 \text{ for } t = 1, 2$$

where x_h^t and $x_h^{m,t}$ satisfy CP for t = 1, 2.

Simplifying and substituting

- $p^1(x_h^1 \omega_h^1) = -p^{m1}x_h^{m1}$
- $p^2(x_h^2 \omega_h^2) = p^{m2}x_h^{m1}$
- x_h^{m1} is a slack variable permitting us to combine terms (discuss):

$$p^{1}(x_{h}^{1} - \omega_{h}^{1}) + p^{2}(x_{h}^{2} - \omega_{h}^{2}) = (p^{m2} - p^{m1})x_{h}^{m1}$$

- ▶ In MM, buy low sell high, try to arbitrage the $(p^{m2} p^{m1})$ gap
- allowing unbounded consumptions denying CE
- ▶ therefore in CE: $p^{m1} = p^{m2} = p^m \ge 0$

Assume $p^m > 0$

We have

$$p^{1}(x_{h}^{1} - \omega_{h}^{1}) + p^{2}(x_{h}^{1} - \omega_{h}^{1}) = 0$$

- MM equilibrium allocation is identical to FM equilibrium allocation
- ▶ Irving Fisher (isomorphic to the Arrow article)
- Very important caveat
 - ▶ If $p^m = 0$, the money market is closed. No inter-temporal trades
 - ▶ This important outcome does not occur in the FM model

Uncertainty

- ▶ Two states, $s = \alpha, \beta$
- ▶ One commodity, *l* = 1
- Finite model, as before
- ▶ See Arrow RES article: History of article
- Contingent claims
 - ▶ "AD"
 - buy and sell contracts to deliver commodity contingent on the realization of s
- ► CP:

$$\max \pi(lpha) u_h(x_h(lpha)) + \pi(eta) u_h(x_h(eta)) \ \pi(lpha) + \pi(eta) = 1$$

s.t.
$$p(\alpha)x_h(\alpha) + p(\beta)x_h(\beta) = p(\alpha)\omega_h(\alpha) + p(\beta)\omega_h(\beta)$$

CE for "AD" Economy

$$p(\alpha),p(\beta)$$
 such that
$$\sum_h x_h(s) = \sum_h \omega_h(s) \text{ for } s=\alpha,\beta$$
 where $x_h(s)$ solves CP for $s=\alpha,\beta$.

Arrow Securities

- Arrow money
- Buy and sell commodities on spot markets
- ▶ Buy and sell Arrow monies $b_h(s)$ for $s = \alpha, \beta$ before s is realized

CP:

$$\max E_s u_h(s) = \pi(\alpha) u_h(x_h(s)) + \pi(\beta) u_h(x_h(s))$$

s.t.
$$x_h(s) = \omega_h(s) + b_h(s)$$
 for $s = \alpha, \beta$
and $p^b(\alpha)b_h(\alpha) + p^b(\beta)b_h(\beta) = 0$

Hidden assumption: 1 unit of $b_h(s)$ pays 1 unit of commodity in state s, 0 otherwise.

CE:

$$(p(\alpha),p(\beta);p^b(\alpha),p(\beta))$$

such that

where $x_h(s)$ solves CP.

$$\sum_{h} x_h(s) = \sum_{h} \omega_h(s) \text{ for } s = \alpha, \beta$$

Results

- ► Every CE allocation in the AD economy can be decentralized as a CE allocation in the Arrow Securities economy.
- ▶ Every CE allocation in the AS economy in which we have $(p^b(\alpha), p^b(\beta)) >> 0$ is also an equilibrium in the AD contingent claims economy.