The Transactions Demand for Cash: An Inventory Theoretic Approach

William J. Baumol

Presented by Luoyi (Roy) Su



September 5, 2017

## Introduction

- apply inventory control analysis to the theory of money
- analyze transactions demand for cash in a simple rational framework
- discuss real life implications of the model and its limitations

## Model Setup

- an individual will pay out T dollars in a steady stream in the course of a year
- cash is withdrawn in lots of *C* dollars *evenly* throughout the year
  - cash comes from either borrowing or withdrawing from an investment
  - the individual spends the cash in a steady stream, and withdraws the same amount as soon as it's gone
- there's a fixed "broker's fee" of b dollars for each withdrawal
- interest cost (opportunity cost of holding cash)
  - = *i* percent/year

### Assumptions

- transactions are perfectly foreseen (i.e. *T*, the value of transactions, is predetermined) and occur in a steady stream
- the need for cash arises from transactional demand and there're no precautionary and speculative demands for cash
- **Optimality condition**: a rational individual only holds cash to satisfy the *T* payment at minimum cost

### Costs of Using Cash

- the individual makes  $\frac{T}{C}$  withdrawls in the course of the year
- the average cash holding is  $\frac{C}{2}$
- the total costs of using cash to meet the transaction are the sum of "brokers' fees" and interest cost:

$$\frac{bT}{C} + \frac{iC}{2} \tag{1}$$

#### Cash Demand

- the individual chooses C to minimize the costs of using cash
- solve it by setting the derivative of (1) with respect to C equal to 0:

$$-\frac{bT}{C^2} + \frac{i}{2} = 0$$

then

$$C = \sqrt{\frac{2bT}{i}}$$

(2)

Withholding Cash

## A More Complicated Case: Withholding Cash

- the individual receives cash from investment prior to expenditure and has the option of withholding some or all of the cash and simply keeping the cash until it is needed
- once the withheld cash is used up, the case is reduced to the previous simple one
- only need to concern about the *T* dollars of the cash, since excess cash above *T* will be invested

#### A More Complicated Case: Withholding Cash

- let R be withheld cash, and I be dollar invested. Then R = T I.
- *R* can meet payments for  $\frac{T-I}{T}$  fraction of the year, with average cash holding equal  $\frac{T-I}{2}$  during the period
- let b<sub>w</sub> + k<sub>w</sub>C be "brokers' fee" for withdrawing cash and b<sub>d</sub> + k<sub>d</sub>I be "brokers' fee" for depositing cash
- total cost of withholding R dollars and investing the I dollars

$$\frac{T-I}{T}i\frac{T-I}{2}+b_d+k_dI$$

• total cost of obtaining cash for the rest  $\frac{1}{T}$  of the year is

$$\frac{l}{T}i\frac{C}{2} + (b_w + k_w C)\frac{l}{C}$$

#### A More Complicated Case: Withholding Cash

• setting the derivative of the total costs with respect to *I* equal to 0:

$$-\frac{T-I}{T}i + k_d + \frac{Ci}{2T} + \frac{b_w}{C} + k_w = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow R = T - I = \frac{C}{2} + \frac{b_w}{Ci} + \frac{T(k_d + k_w)}{i}$$

• use the result from (2) that  $C^2 = \frac{2Tb_w}{i}$  gives

$$R = C + T\left(\frac{k_w + k_d}{i}\right)$$



### Monetary Implications

- demand for cash becomes zero without "brokers' fees" b
- economies of scale in the use of cash: demand for cash, C or R rises less than in proportion with the value of transactions T
- from (2), velocity of money  $\frac{T}{C} = \frac{i}{2b}C$ , so double stock of cash doubles velocity
- lends support to the Pigou effect: wage cuts ⇒ lower price level ⇒ higher purchasing power ⇒ higher demand for investments good which drives lower interest rate ⇒ higher employment

# Model & Reality

- demand for cash depends on b and i (problematic if both are zero)
- payments are spread out evenly through time, but cash might be employed more economically if payments are lumpy but foreseen (e.g. a single payment during the year)
- precautionary cash demand could increase if one expects everyone else to hold as little cash as possible