

# Economics 4905: Lecture 2

Karl Shell

Cornell University

Fall 2017

- ▶ We are seeking a **seminar** room for ECON 4905. We will keep you posted, but please be alert.
- ▶ Update bio needed for at least one student.
- ▶ Wall Street

- ▶ Scientific method

- ▶ Model

- ▶ Data

- ▶ Scientific method
  - ▶ Model
  - ▶ Data
- ▶ Economics is a behavioral science
  - ▶ Beliefs about beliefs of others
  - ▶ Coordination
  - ▶ Paper assets and finance amplify this aspect

- ▶ Scientific method
  - ▶ Model
  - ▶ Data
- ▶ Economics is a behavioral science
  - ▶ Beliefs about beliefs of others
  - ▶ Coordination
  - ▶ Paper assets and finance amplify this aspect
- ▶ Economists
  - ▶ Not good at macro-forecasting
  - ▶ Good at predicting "unintended consequences"
  - ▶ Somewhat good at using theory and data in place of emotions and tribalism

What is macro-economics?

- ▶ **Denotation**

- ▶ Aggregate variables from national income accounts
  - ▶ Simon Kuznets, Penn US
  - ▶ Richard Stone, Cambridge UK

What is macro-economics?

▶ **Denotation**

- ▶ Aggregate variables from national income accounts
  - ▶ Simon Kuznets, Penn US
  - ▶ Richard Stone, Cambridge UK

▶ **Conotation**

- ▶ Money and finance
- ▶ Interest rates
- ▶ Intertemporal
- ▶ Expectations
- ▶ Banking
- ▶ Unemployment
- ▶ And more

**This course, ECON 4905**

Goals:



## **This course, ECON 4905**

Goals:

- ▶ Integration of macro-economics, monetary economics, banking, taxation, regulation

## **This course, ECON 4905**

Goals:

- ▶ Integration of macro-economics, monetary economics, banking, taxation, regulation
- ▶ Focus on bank runs and other financial fragility

## **This course, ECON 4905**

### Goals:

- ▶ Integration of macro-economics, monetary economics, banking, taxation, regulation
- ▶ Focus on bank runs and other financial fragility
- ▶ Prepare students:

## **This course, ECON 4905**

### Goals:

- ▶ Integration of macro-economics, monetary economics, banking, taxation, regulation
- ▶ Focus on bank runs and other financial fragility
- ▶ Prepare students:
  - ▶ to assess and understand policy issues

## **This course, ECON 4905**

### Goals:

- ▶ Integration of macro-economics, monetary economics, banking, taxation, regulation
- ▶ Focus on bank runs and other financial fragility
- ▶ Prepare students:
  - ▶ to assess and understand policy issues
  - ▶ to understand economic, financial and banking history in US and elsewhere

## **This course, ECON 4905**

### Goals:

- ▶ Integration of macro-economics, monetary economics, banking, taxation, regulation
- ▶ Focus on bank runs and other financial fragility
- ▶ Prepare students:
  - ▶ to assess and understand policy issues
  - ▶ to understand economic, financial and banking history in US and elsewhere
  - ▶ possibly pursue careers in related fields

## **This course, ECON 4905**

### Goals:

- ▶ Integration of macro-economics, monetary economics, banking, taxation, regulation
- ▶ Focus on bank runs and other financial fragility
- ▶ Prepare students:
  - ▶ to assess and understand policy issues
  - ▶ to understand economic, financial and banking history in US and elsewhere
  - ▶ possibly pursue careers in related fields
    - ▶ CIBC

## **This course, ECON 4905**

### Goals:

- ▶ Integration of macro-economics, monetary economics, banking, taxation, regulation
- ▶ Focus on bank runs and other financial fragility
- ▶ Prepare students:
  - ▶ to assess and understand policy issues
  - ▶ to understand economic, financial and banking history in US and elsewhere
  - ▶ possibly pursue careers in related fields
    - ▶ CIBC
    - ▶ Wall Street



## **This course, ECON 4905**

### Goals:

- ▶ Integration of macro-economics, monetary economics, banking, taxation, regulation
- ▶ Focus on bank runs and other financial fragility
- ▶ Prepare students:
  - ▶ to assess and understand policy issues
  - ▶ to understand economic, financial and banking history in US and elsewhere
  - ▶ possibly pursue careers in related fields
    - ▶ CIBC
    - ▶ Wall Street
    - ▶ Fed

## **Review: Money Taxes and Transfers**

## Review: Money Taxes and Transfers

- ▶ 1 commodity, say chocolate,  $l = 1$

## Review: Money Taxes and Transfers

- ▶ 1 commodity, say chocolate,  $l = 1$
- ▶  $n$  individuals,  $h = (1, 2, \dots, n)$

## Review: Money Taxes and Transfers

- ▶ 1 commodity, say chocolate,  $l = 1$
- ▶  $n$  individuals,  $h = (1, 2, \dots, n)$
- ▶ money taxes,  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$  in say dollars

## Review: Money Taxes and Transfers

- ▶ 1 commodity, say chocolate,  $l = 1$
- ▶  $n$  individuals,  $h = (1, 2, \dots, n)$
- ▶ money taxes,  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$  in say dollars
- ▶  $P^m \geq 0$ , the commodity price of money, i.e., the chocolate price of dollars

## Review: Money Taxes and Transfers

- ▶ 1 commodity, say chocolate,  $l = 1$
- ▶  $n$  individuals,  $h = (1, 2, \dots, n)$
- ▶ money taxes,  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$  in say dollars
- ▶  $P^m \geq 0$ , the commodity price of money, i.e., the chocolate price of dollars
- ▶  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_h, \dots, x_n) > 0$  is the vector of chocolate consumption

## Review: Money Taxes and Transfers

- ▶ 1 commodity, say chocolate,  $l = 1$
- ▶  $n$  individuals,  $h = (1, 2, \dots, n)$
- ▶ money taxes,  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$  in say dollars
- ▶  $P^m \geq 0$ , the commodity price of money, i.e., the chocolate price of dollars
- ▶  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_h, \dots, x_n) > 0$  is the vector of chocolate consumption
- ▶  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h, \dots, \omega_n) > 0$  is the vector of chocolate endowments



$$x_h = \omega_h - P^m \tau_h \quad h = 1, \dots, n$$

$$x_h = \omega_h - P^m \tau_h \quad h = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mathbf{CP} \begin{cases} \max U_h(x_h) \\ \text{subject to: } x_h > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_h = \omega_h - P^m \tau_h \end{cases}$$

$$x_h = \omega_h - P^m \tau_h \quad h = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mathbf{CP} \begin{cases} \max U_h(x_h) \\ \text{subject to: } x_h > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_h = \omega_h - P^m \tau_h \end{cases}$$

$P^m \geq 0$  defines a C.E. if CP holds for  $h = 1, \dots, n$  and materials balance.

$$x_h = \omega_h - P^m \tau_h \quad h = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mathbf{CP} \begin{cases} \max U_h(x_h) \\ \text{subject to: } x_h > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_h = \omega_h - P^m \tau_h \end{cases}$$

$P^m \geq 0$  defines a C.E. if CP holds for  $h = 1, \dots, n$  and materials balance.

$$\mathbf{MB:} \quad \sum_{h=1}^n x_h = \sum_{h=1}^n \omega_h$$

Summing over individuals:

$$\sum_{h=1}^n x_h = \sum_{h=1}^n \omega_h - P^m \sum_{h=1}^n \tau_h$$

Summing over individuals:

$$\sum_{h=1}^n x_h = \sum_{h=1}^n \omega_h - P^m \sum_{h=1}^n \tau_h$$

which yields

$$\sum_{h=1}^n (x_h - \omega_h) = -P^m \sum_{h=1}^n \tau_h$$

Summing over individuals:

$$\sum_{h=1}^n x_h = \sum_{h=1}^n \omega_h - P^m \sum_{h=1}^n \tau_h$$

which yields

$$\sum_{h=1}^n (x_h - \omega_h) = -P^m \sum_{h=1}^n \tau_h$$

but by MB

$$\sum_{h=1}^n (x_h - \omega_h) = 0$$

Summing over individuals:

$$\sum_{h=1}^n x_h = \sum_{h=1}^n \omega_h - P^m \sum_{h=1}^n \tau_h$$

which yields

$$\sum_{h=1}^n (x_h - \omega_h) = -P^m \sum_{h=1}^n \tau_h$$

but by MB

$$\sum_{h=1}^n (x_h - \omega_h) = 0$$

so

$$P^m = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{h=1}^n \tau_h = 0 \quad \text{or both}$$



## Bonafide Taxes and Balanced Taxes

- ▶  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_h, \dots, \tau_n)$  is said to be *balanced* if we have  $\sum_{h=1}^n \tau_h = 0$ , i.e., if taxes exactly offset subsidies.
- ▶  $\tau$  is said to be *bonafide* if there is at least one CE in which  $P^m > 0$ . (In other words,  $\tau$  is a good faith policy).
- ▶ We have shown that if  $\tau$  is imbalanced, then  $\tau$  is not bonafide. Every bonafide  $\tau$  is balanced in this simple finite economy.

- ▶ Bonafide implies balanced. Is the converse true? That is, are bonafide policies and balanced policies equivalent?

- ▶ Bonafide implies balanced. Is the converse true? That is, are bonafide policies and balanced policies equivalent?
- ▶ To show:  $\tau$  balances  $\Rightarrow$   $\tau$  bonafide.

- ▶ Bonafide implies balanced. Is the converse true? That is, are bonafide policies and balanced policies equivalent?
- ▶ To show:  $\tau$  balances  $\Rightarrow \tau$  bonafide.
- ▶ Define the tax-adjusted endowment

$$\tilde{\omega} = (\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_h, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_n) = (\omega_1 - P^m \tau_1, \dots, \omega_h - P^m \tau_h, \dots, \omega_n - P^m \tau_n).$$

- ▶ Bonafide implies balanced. Is the converse true? That is, are bonafide policies and balanced policies equivalent?

- ▶ To show:  $\tau$  balances  $\Rightarrow \tau$  bonafide.

- ▶ Define the tax-adjusted endowment

$$\tilde{\omega} = (\tilde{\omega}_1, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_h, \dots, \tilde{\omega}_n) = (\omega_1 - P^m \tau_1, \dots, \omega_h - P^m \tau_h, \dots, \omega_n - P^m \tau_n).$$

- ▶ Since  $\omega > 0$ , for  $P^m > 0$  sufficiently small, we have  $\tilde{\omega} > 0$ . The CE for this  $\tilde{\omega}$  (without money) yields  $x > 0$  and  $\sum_h x_h = \sum_h \tilde{\omega}_h = \sum_h (\omega_h - P^m \tau_h) = \sum_h \omega_h - P^m \sum_h \tau_h = \sum_h \omega_h$ . Hence there are  $P^m > 0$  in money-tax equilibrium.

## Outside Money Taxation: Examples

$$l = 1, n = 6, \omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h, \dots, \omega_6) = (100, 90, 10, 10, 10, 10)$$

## Outside Money Taxation: Examples

$$l = 1, n = 6, \omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h, \dots, \omega_6) = (100, 90, 10, 10, 10, 10)$$

### Example 1

$$\tau = (20, 20, -10, -10, -10, -10)$$

$$\sum_h \tau_h = 0 \Rightarrow \tau \text{ bonafide}$$

2 guys (Mr. 1 and Mr. 2) are taxed.

## Outside Money Taxation: Examples

$$l = 1, n = 6, \omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h, \dots, \omega_6) = (100, 90, 10, 10, 10, 10)$$

### Example 1

$$\tau = (20, 20, -10, -10, -10, -10)$$

$$\sum_h \tau_h = 0 \Rightarrow \tau \text{ bonafide}$$

2 guys (Mr. 1 and Mr. 2) are taxed.

Mr. 1:

$$100 - 20P^m > 0$$

$$20P^m < 100$$

$$P^m < 5$$



## Outside Money Taxation: Examples

$$I = 1, n = 6, \omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h, \dots, \omega_6) = (100, 90, 10, 10, 10, 10)$$

### Example 1

$$\tau = (20, 20, -10, -10, -10, -10)$$

$$\sum_h \tau_h = 0 \Rightarrow \tau \text{ bonafide}$$

2 guys (Mr. 1 and Mr. 2) are taxed.

Mr. 1:

$$100 - 20P^m > 0$$

$$20P^m < 100$$

$$P^m < 5$$

Mr. 2:

$$90 - 20P^m > 0$$

$$20P^m < 90$$

$$P^m < \frac{9}{2} < 5$$

$$\mathcal{P}^m = [0, \frac{9}{2})$$

$\mathcal{P}^m$  is the set of equilibrium money prices

## Example 2

$$\tau = (100, 90, -20, -20, -20, -20)$$

$$\sum_h \tau_h = 100 + 90 + 4(-20) = 110 \neq 0$$

$\tau$  not balanced  $\Rightarrow \tau$  not bonafide

$$\mathcal{P}^m = \{0\}$$

### Example 3

$$\tau = (2, 2, -1, -1, -1, -1)$$

$$\sum_h \tau_h = 4 - 4 = 0$$

$\tau$  balanced  $\Rightarrow \tau$  bonafide

Mr. 1

$$100 - 2P^m > 0$$

$$2P^m < 100$$

$$P^m < 50$$

Mr. 2

$$90 - 2P^m > 0$$

$$2P^m < 90$$

$$P^m < 45$$

$$\mathcal{P}^m = [0, 45)$$

### Example 4

$$\tau = (0, 0, -5, -5, -5, -5)$$

$$\sum_h \tau_h = 0 - 20 = -20 \neq 0$$

$\tau$  not balanced  $\Rightarrow \tau$  not bonafide

$$\mathcal{P}^m = \{0\}$$

## Example 5

$$\tau = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$\sum_h \tau_h = 0$$

$\tau$  balanced  $\Rightarrow \tau$  bonafide

$$\mathcal{P}^m = [0, \infty)$$

$\mathcal{P}^m$  is indeterminate because there are no money trades at any price.

## Money Taxation Take-aways:

- ▶ In some cases, the equilibrium allocation  $x$  is unique, but generally  $x$  depends on *consumer beliefs* about  $P^m$ .
- ▶ Fundamentals do not completely determine economic outcomes. Beliefs are important: this is a basic source of financial fragility.
- ▶ Compare to Ben Stein's remark.