

# DETERMINATE FORECASTING IN GENERAL OLG MODELS

STEPHEN E. SPEAR<sup>1</sup>    EUNGSIK KIM<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tepper School of Business  
Carnegie Mellon University

<sup>2</sup>Tepper School of Business  
Carnegie Mellon University

Karl's Birthday Party 2018

# INTRODUCTION

- In forward-looking economic models, agents must necessarily forecast future economically-relevant variables
- In general overlapping generations models, Kehoe and Levine (1985) showed that simply adopting the equilibrium law of motion for the model as agents' forecasts led to equilibrium being indeterminate
- The argument for this is straight-forward in the standard two-period-lived agents setting
  - If the equilibrium law of motion for prices is given by

$$p_{t+1} = g(p_t, p_{t-1})$$

which is derived from the zero excess demand condition for young and old

$$z(p_{t-1}, p_t) + y(p_t, p_{t+1}) = 0$$

then using  $g$  as the young agent's forecast function yields

$$z(p_{t-1}, p_t) + y(p_t, g[p_{t-1}, p_t]) \equiv 0$$

- Hence, given  $p_{t-1}$ , any  $p_t$  will be an equilibrium price.

- K&L showed that if there is a first-order forecast function

$$p_{t+1} = f(p_t)$$

which was consistent with the equilibrium dynamics on a neighborhood of the steady-state equilibrium price  $\hat{p}$  in the sense that

$$f \circ f(p) = g(p, f[p])$$

then this forecast function would render the rational expectations equilibrium determinate

# THE KEHOE-LEVINE FORECAST

- In addition to the consistency requirement, K&L also imposed the stability requirement that all eigenvalues of  $Df(\hat{p})$  were inside the unit circle
- The stability requirement is consistent with Blanchard and Kahn's (1980) characterization of the equilibria of linear rational expectations models
  - To see this, let

$$q_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{t+1} \\ p_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g(p_t, p_{t-1}) \\ p_t \end{bmatrix} = \hat{g}(q_t)$$

- Then if the eigenvalues of  $D_q \hat{g}(\hat{q})$  split, the steady-state equilibrium is determinate; if there are more stable eigenvalues than unstable, the steady-state is indeterminate; if there are more unstable eigenvalues than stable, the steady-state is explosive

# THE KEHOE-LEVINE FORECAST

- K&L focus their analysis on an OLG model in which agents live for two periods, trading an arbitrary (but finite) number of commodities
  - For deterministic models, this assumption is without loss of generality due to the applicability of the Balasko-Cass-Shell transformation (see Balasko, Cass and Shell, “Existence of competitive equilibrium in a general overlapping generations model”, *JET*, 1980)
    - The BCS transformation shows that by reinterpreting commodities available at different dates as different commodities at the same transformed date, arbitrary but finite-lived agents can be viewed as living two periods
  - The BCS transformation doesn't work for stochastic models
    - In stochastic OLG models, agents are born into a given state of the world, but are assumed to be able to trade contingent on all future states
    - Under the BCS transformation, young agents would necessarily lose the ability to make some future contingent trades after the reinterpretation of the model's timing; this necessarily has real effects

# THE KEHOE-LEVINE FORECAST

- In other work, Eungsik Kim and I have shown that in general multi-period-lived stochastic OLG models, the law of motion for the lagged, endogenous state variables in the model is linear
  - for the recursive equilibrium, with endogenous state variables given by the wealth distribution (i.e. asset holdings of agents)
  - for the case of small shocks
- Deriving this fact requires the use of generalized forecast functions depending, at any give time, only on the pre-determined state variables
  - We focus in particular on the case where these forecast functions render the recursive equilibrium determinate

# GENERALIZED FORECASTS

- The K&L forecast construction is based on differentiating the identity that determines the consistency of the first-order forecast with the general equilibrium dynamic system

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \circ f(p) \\ f(p) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g(f(p), p) \\ f(p) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Differentiating with respect to  $p$  at  $\hat{p}$  yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} Df \\ I \end{bmatrix} Df = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & G_2 \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Df \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $G_i, i = 1, 2$  are the derivative matrices of  $g$  with respect to  $p_{t+1-i}$

- Putting this into canonical form yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Lambda \\ I \end{bmatrix} \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & G_2 \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

which immediately tells us that the eigenvalues of  $Df$  will be a subset of the (stable) eigenvalues of  $D\hat{g}$ .

# GENERALIZED FORECASTS

- I will illustrate construction of the generalized forecast function for an agent who lives  $L > 2$  periods, but trades in only a single perishable good
- For this version of the model, the recursive equilibrium law of motion for prices takes the form

$$p_{t+L-1} = z(\hat{q}_{t+L-2})$$

where  $\hat{q}_{t+L-2} = (p_{t+L-2}, p_{t+L-3}, \dots, p_{t-L+1})$  and  
 $z : \mathbb{R}_{++}^{(2L-2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{++}$

- We can convert this high-order dynamic system into a first-order system in the usual way

$$\hat{q}_{t+L-1} = \hat{z}(\hat{q}_{t+L-2}) = \begin{bmatrix} z(\hat{q}_{t+L-2}) \\ p_{t+L-2} \\ \vdots \\ p_{t-L+2} \end{bmatrix}$$



# GENERALIZED FORECASTS

- Let  $q_{t-1} = (p_{t-1}, p_{t-2}, \dots, p_{t-L+1})$  be the vector of predetermined variables at time  $t$
- We define the generalized forecast function

$$p_t = f(q_{t-1})$$

and, as with  $\hat{z}$  we define the related first-order forecast system as

$$q_t = \hat{f}(q_{t-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} f(q_{t-1}) \\ p_{t-1} \\ \vdots \\ p_{t-L+2} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}_{++}^{(L-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{++}^{(L-1)}$

# GENERALIZED FORECASTS

- The consistency requirement on the forecast functions with the full system price dynamics requires that at time  $t$ , every agent is using  $f$  (or compositions of  $f$ ) to forecast forward prices
- In a three-period-lived agents model, we can illustrate this as follows
  - Equilibrium law of motion:  $p_{t+1} = z(p_t, p_{t-1}, p_{t-2})$
  - Generalized forecast function:  
 $p_{t+1} = f(p_t, p_{t-1}) = f[f(p_{t-1}, p_{t-2}), p_{t-1}]$
  - Consistency requires

$$f[f(p_{t-1}, p_{t-2}), p_{t-1}] = z[f(p_{t-1}, p_{t-2}), p_{t-1}, p_{t-2}]$$

# CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS

- To analyze the general consistency requirements, we define

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 & \cdots & Z_{2L-3} & Z_{2L-2} \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & 1 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Dz \\ J_{(2L-2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $Z_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  partial derivative of  $z$  and

$J_{(2L-2)} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{(2L-3)} & 0_{(2L-3)} \end{bmatrix}$  where  $I_{(2L-3)}$  is a  $2L-3$  square identity matrix, and  $0_{(2L-3)}$  is a  $2L-3$  dimension vector of zeros

# CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS

- Also define

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & F_2 & \cdots & F_{L-2} & F_{L-1} \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Df \\ J_{(L-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $F_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  partial derivative of the generalized forecast  $f$

# CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS

- Finally, define

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} DfF^{L-2} \\ \vdots \\ DfF \\ Df \\ I_{(L-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

- $K$  is the matrix of derivatives of the various compositions of the forecast functions that enter into the consistency condition
- $K$  is given recursively in terms of the gradient  $Df$  and powers of the matrix  $F$

- **Proposition:** For a consistent forecast function  $f$ , we will have

$$ZK = KF$$

- The proof of this is a tedious recursion; it is transparent for  $L = 3$ , but less so the larger  $L$  becomes, so I omit it here.
- This structural relationship between the derivative matrices of the two dynamic systems implies the existence of an invariant subspace of  $Z$  which is also invariant under the actions of  $F$  and which can be associated with the stable eigenvalues of  $Z$ 
  - For the remainder of the talk, we will assume that the eigenvalues of  $Z$  split, i.e. there  $L - 1$  eigenvalues inside the unit circle and  $L - 1$  outside the unit circle

# CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS

- To show the invariance result, note that the consistency relationship has the following implication
  - Let

$$K = [k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{L-1}]$$

so that  $k_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $K$

- From the definitions of  $Z$  and  $F$ , we then have (using the companion matrix structure of  $F$ )

$$Z^{L-1}k_1 = F_1Z^{L-2}k_1 + Z^{L-2}k_2$$

$$Z^{L-2}k_2 = F_2Z^{L-3}k_1 + Z^{L-3}k_3$$

$\vdots$

$$Z^2k_{L-2} = F_{L-2}Zk_1 + Zk_{L-1}$$

$$Zk_{L-1} = F_{L-1}k_1$$

- Recursive substitution yields:

$$\left[ Z^{L-1} - F_1Z^{L-2} - \dots - F_{L-2}Z - F_{L-1}I \right] k_1 = 0$$

# CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS

- This equation implies

$$ch_F(Z) k_1 = 0$$

- Since the characteristic function of  $F$  is not the characteristic function of  $Z$ , it cannot be that  $ch_F(Z) = 0$ , so it must be that  $ch_F(Z)$  annihilates the vector  $k_1$
- This, in turn, implies that  $k_1$  is a cyclic vector for  $Z$ , and, via the cyclic decomposition theorem, that the powers of  $Z$  operating on  $k_1$  generate an invariant (cyclic) subspace of  $Z$



# CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS

- With  $ch_F$ , we can associate the stable eigenvalues of  $Z$  in  $\Lambda_f$  with the elements in  $Df$  such that:

$$ch_F(c_i) = c_i^{L-1} - F_1 c_i^{L-2} - \dots - F_{L-2} c_i - F_{L-1} = 0$$

for all of the  $(L-1)$  stable eigenvalues,  $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^{L-1}$

- One can find the general forecast functions by transforming this equation into the following linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1^{L-2} & \cdots & c_1 & 1 \\ c_2^{L-2} & \cdots & c_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{L-1}^{L-2} & \cdots & c_{L-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ \vdots \\ F_{L-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1^{L-1} \\ c_2^{L-1} \\ \vdots \\ c_{L-1}^{L-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and solving for  $Df$

# CONCLUSIONS

- We have shown how to construct a general forecast function depending only on pre-determined variables for any forward-looking dynamic price function
  - We have constructed it for the rational expectations equilibria for overlapping generations models, but the technique can be applied more generally to any forward-looking economic system that generates the same kind of equilibrium pricing dynamics
- Via the Blanchard-Kahn results, we can also characterize the equilibria for the class of models we examine as explosive (more eigenvalues of  $Z$  outside the unit circle than inside), determinate (eigenvalues of  $Z$  split), or indeterminate (more eigenvalues of  $Z$  inside the unit circle than outside)
  - For the indeterminate case, there will exist multiple forecasts depending only on pre-determined variables, and one can construct sunspot equilibrium forecast functions by randomizing over the choice of forecast functions